22nd July 1952]

Sri V. Rama Rao :—" May I know, Sir, whether there are any arrears of land revenue to be collected in these villages? "

The Hon. Sri M. A. MANICKAVELU NAICKER :—" There are about ten enclave villages taken over by this Government. A Special Assistant Settlement Officer has been appointed to go into the question and he is examining the whole thing."

Sri PILLALAMARRI VENKATESWARLU :—" May I know with reference to these ten enclave villages whether they were not surveyed at all after they had been taken over; and also during the fasli 1357, due to the confusion prevailing there in those days the land rent collected was greater—for it was not collected so to say, but was taken away without notice—and so will Government consider the desirability of cancelling the land rent for that period? "

The Hon. Sri M. A. MANICKAVELU NAICKER :—" The question here is about the present and future collection of rent. It does not refer to past collections."

Sri P. RAMA RAO :—" అధ్యక్షా, నైజాంనుంచి మన గవర్నమెంటు కలుపుకున్న గ్రామాలలో శిస్తులు మార్చబడ్డాయా, లేక యిదివరకు వున్నటువంటి శిస్తుతో యిప్పుడు కూడా వసూలు చేస్తున్నారా? "

Sri T. NAGI REDDI :—" Sir, what the hon. Member wants to know is, has there been any change in the system of land revenue now, or is the old system prevailing? "

The Hon. Sri M. A. MANICKAVELU NAICKER :—" Sir, some of the villages were proprietary villages. But before they were transferred to our Government, they were converted into ' Diwani ' or as we call it ' ryotwari ' land. The whole question is being considered. It is for that purpose a special officer has been appointed with his headquarters at Masulipatnam, where he goes into the question."

Sri PILLALAMARRI VENKATESWARLU :—" Sir, the supplementary question has not been answered."

Mr. SPEAKER :—" The hon. Member may give notice of a fresh question if he feels that his question has not been answered."

Extension of electricity system to Madurantakam, Chingleput district.

* 172 Q.—Sri V. K. RAMASWAMY MUDALIAR : Will the Hon. the Minister for Public Works be pleased to state when the extension of electricity system to Madurantakam and Uttiramerur areas in Chingleput district will be put into operation?

The Hon. Sri N. RANGA REDDI :—" The scheme for Madurantakam has been sanctioned and that for Uttiramerur is under consideration. Both will be taken up for execution in their turn when funds and materials become available."

[22nd July 1952

SRI V. K. RAMASAMY MUDALIAR :—" Sir, inasmuch as many electricity schemes have been sanctioned in other areas in this State, will the Hon. Minister give priority to these two areas in view of chronic famine prevailing there? "

THE HON. SRI N. RANGA REDDI :—" There are a number of schemes sanctioned; but it is only administrative sanction that is given. Since administrative sanction is given to it, it does not mean it will be taken up for execution."

SRI T. NAGI REDDI :—" Sir, the question has not been answered. The question put by the hon. Member was, ' will Government give priority to these two areas, since there is an ever recurring famine there? ' Instead of giving an answer to that particular question, the Hon. Minister is giving a vague answer. He can very easily give a direct answer to the question."

11-10 a.m. THE HON. SRI C. RAJAGOPALACHARI :—" Mr. Speaker, Sir, I hope you will pardon me for intervening. There is a certain scope for supplementary questions of this kind, but surely Members should not sit and function like Speakers over other people's questions that are being answered or that are being looked into. It may, no doubt, be permitted if it is casual but the language adopted by Sri Nagi Reddi is the language of the Speaker of the House."

SRI T. SHANMUGAM :—" Will the Hon. Minister be pleased to extend the scheme to Sriperumbudur also in Chingleput district? "

THE HON. SRI N. RANGA REDDI :—" A separate question may be put, Sir."

DR. K. B. MENON :—" Sir, have the Government a timetable for the extension of electricity to rural areas? "

THE HON. SRI N. RANGA REDDI :—" Sir, there is no timetable for the extension of electricity to rural areas? "

SRI K. R. SAMBANDAM :—" May I know, Sir, whether the Government have any idea of nationalizing electricity? "

MR. SPEAKER :—" This has nothing to do with the extension of electricity to Madurantakam. The hon. Member may put a separate question."

SRI K. VINAYAKAM :—" Sir, will the Hon. Minister be pleased to state, in what year was the scheme for the electrification of Madurantakam was sanctioned by the Secretariat and why there is so much of delay in spite of a lot of material now being available for construction purposes and especially when such schemes sanctioned for other places have been put through? Why should this kind of step-motherly treatment be given to Chingleput district simply because our ex-Minister for Public Works is not in the Cabinet to carry them out? "

22nd July 1952]

THE HON. SRI N. RANGA REDDI :—" Sir, Government's sanction was accorded on 8th December 1951 but technical sanction has yet to be given because the estimate for Madurantakam is still under preparation."

SRI VAVILALA GOPALAKRISHNAIAH :—" ఈ ప్రశ్నకు మంత్రి గారు యిచ్చిన సమాధానం సంతృప్తికరంగా లేదు. విద్యుచ్ఛక్తి సప్లయి చేసే విషయమై యీనాటి సమావేశానంతరం ఒక అర్ధ గంట చర్చకు అనుమతించవలసిందని కోరుతున్నాను ".

SRI T. NAGI REDDI :—" Sir, the hon. Member requests that you should allow a half hour debate on this question of electricity in the Chingleput area."

MR. SPEAKER :—" I do not see any necessity for this as the Demand under Electricity will be very shortly before the House for discussion."

Representations regarding the recovery of well subsidies.

* 173 Q.—SRI N. MAHALINGAM : Will the Hon. the Minister for Agriculture be pleased to state—

(a) whether any representations have been received recently regarding the recovery of well subsidies that were given to agriculturists in 1947–48, etc., in Pollachi taluk and what action has been taken in the matter ;

(b) whether it is a fact that many agriculturists who have spent more than Rs. 2,000 to Rs. 3,000 apart from the subsidy for digging the well, are being forced to repay the subsidy amount ; and

(c) in how many cases recovery has been ordered for subsidies given in 1946–47, 1947–48 and 1948–49 in Pollachi taluk ?

THE HON. DR. R. NAGAN GOUDA :—" (a) Yes. The Collector has been asked to pay special attention to the matter and send a report to the Government.

" (b) Yes. Recovery of subsidy is ordered in all cases where the well-work is incomplete even though the grantees have spent more than Rs. 2,000 or Rs. 3,000 apart from the subsidy. Besides, under the New Well Subsidy Schemes subsidies were to be advanced only to wells which were not likely to cost more than Rs. 2,000. The reason was that the Government held that a ryot who was able to spend over Rs. 2,000 on a well should be well-to-do and not in need of financial assistance from the Government. So, recovery of subsidy can be effected also in case of completed works which cost over Rs. 2,000 each. But in individual cases the Government are examining the justification for a relaxation of this rule wherever necessary.

" (c) The particulars for 1946–47 scheme exclusively for Pollachi taluk are not readily available with the Government. The number of cases under the 1947–48 and 1948–49 schemes in which recovery of subsidy has been ordered in Pollachi taluk are 19 and 14 respectively."